

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION
Case Reference: 323761

Mary Connell
Tygreenane
Barnaderg
Tuam
Galway

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1
D01 V902

Date: 09 November 2025

Re: Observation/Submission to proposed wind energy development at Cooloo Wind Farm

Location: Cloondahamper, Cloonascragh, Elmhill, Cooloo, Lecarrow, Dangan Eighter, Lissavally, Slievegorm
- Co. Galway

Applicant: Neoen Renewables Ireland Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

I live in the townland of Tygreenane for the last 60 years. I farmed and raised a family with my late husband Tom. My son and daughter live nearby with their families. We were always very community orientated and the parish of Killrerin means a lot to us. My youngest son currently lives away but intends to return and build on the family farm.

I currently live alone but am well supported by my family and good neighbours. I'm not against green energy at all and strongly believe that we need provide for the future generations. However, I strongly oppose the proposed Wind Farm on a number of grounds. I knew nothing of this development until quite recently. Even though I near the proposed Wind Farm, I was never communicated with or consulted. As an elderly person, online communication is alien to me. Nobody knocked on my door.

I would also be very concerned with our water supply. My late husband Tom was involved in the initial setup of Barnaderg Group Water Scheme over 50 years ago. I am horrified to learn of the potential risk to this vital natural resource.

I also an very concerned about the noise and infrasound that will come from this Wind Farm and the

Substation. Both my daughter in law and I suffer from severe and frequent migraines. I am very worried that anything that may affect this will have a severe impact on my quality of life.

I resolutely request An Coimisiún Pleanála to reject planning permission for the Cooloo Wind Farm and I am objecting on the following grounds.

Community Consultation and Engagement

The consultation led by Neoen and MKO for the Cooloo Wind Farm was deeply flawed and misleading. It does not meet the standards of genuine public engagement expected by An Bord Pleanála.

Notices appeared in the Irish Examiner while the Tuam Herald, the community's main news source, was ignored.

There was only a single public consultation meeting which was held outside Moylough, even though seven of nine turbines are proposed there. The plans have also changed significantly since this original meeting.

Despite claims of outreach to community groups, neither Killereerin Community Council nor Killereerin GAA were consulted. Only 55 homes were visited during 'door-to-door' engagement and ten written responses were received which is evidence of a process that failed to inform or involve the community. With poor broadband limiting access to online materials, and many residents not having the skills or technical knowledge to access online content, many locals were effectively excluded.

This was not meaningful consultation but a box-ticking exercise which did not provide the community with a fair chance to participate. These failures must carry serious weight in An Bord Pleanála's consideration of the application.

Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme

I use the water from Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme as my main source of drinking water for my household. The water is of excellent quality and I am very concerned that pollution of various types such as silt, sediment and other contaminants will enter the water source, causing me and my family harm. With the location of two Turbines within the Source Protection Area (SPA) I believe the Cooloo Windfarm should not be granted permission whatsoever, especially in such a highly karsified and hydrologically sensitive area.

Right to Peaceful Enjoyment of Property

Article 1, Protocol 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) safeguards every individual's right to the peaceful enjoyment of their possessions. It provides that: "Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law."

Approval of this proposed wind farm would constitute a clear interference with this right. If the development proceeds, I will be deprived of the peaceful enjoyment of my home and property. The construction and operation phases would bring significant and continuous disturbance — including persistent noise pollution, low-frequency noise (LFN), shadow flicker, and heavy vehicle movements. The tranquillity and visual amenity of my surroundings, which form an intrinsic part of my home environment and well-being, would be irreversibly diminished.

During construction, the constant flow of heavy machinery and associated noise would cause ongoing disruption and stress, further impacting daily life. Once operational, the presence of industrial-scale turbines dominating the landscape would permanently alter the character of the area, stripping residents of the quiet

enjoyment of their homes and lands. This level of intrusion cannot be considered proportionate or justified in the public interest, and therefore conflicts with the protections afforded under Article 1, Protocol 1 of the ECHR.

Property Devaluation

The 2023 CERIS (Centre for Economic Research on Inclusivity and Sustainability) paper – ‘Wind Turbines and House Prices Along the West of Ireland: A Hedonic Pricing Approach’ – surveyed the prices of houses located near windfarms in seven counties.

The paper states that: ‘The analysis finds a robust and significant reduction in property value of -14.7% within 1km of a turbine’ and that ‘Back-of-the-envelope calculations suggest that the total loss in value for houses within 1km of a turbine in the case counties is approximately €6.8 million.’

Galway County Council is an agent for the state of the Republic of Ireland and as such is responsible to uphold Article 40 of the Irish Constitution which states – ‘the state shall in particular by its laws protect as best it may from unjust attack and in the case of injustice done vindicate the life, person, good name, and property rights of every citizen.’

I am aware that the Barnaderg Cooloo Wind Farm Action Collective have spoken to a local auctioneer, who said that he had trouble selling a house in County Mayo because it was close to several wind turbines. The auctioneer was able to site a specific instance whereby a married couple looked at the house and decided not to buy it. The auctioneer said that the presence of the wind turbines was a crucial factor in the couple's decision not to buy the house. The owners of this house ended up selling for less money than the couple had been initially willing to pay for the house.

Noise

Planning permission for the proposed Cooloo Wind Farm should be refused on the basis that it poses a clear and foreseeable risk of substantial interference with the normal use and enjoyment of nearby homes. In *Byrne & Moorhead v ABO Energy* [2025] IEHC 330, the Irish High Court found that wind turbine noise—specifically low-frequency and amplitude-modulated sound—constituted a private nuisance under common law, as it significantly disrupted residents' ordinary domestic life. The Court held that such noise amounted to an unreasonable and continuous intrusion, preventing the quiet occupation of the home and resulting in the permanent shutdown of three turbines in County Wexford.

The Cooloo proposal relies on outdated ETSU-based noise criteria that fail to account for the same low-frequency and modulated noise effects found to cause substantial nuisance in the Wexford case. Given the proposed turbines' greater height and rotor size, the likelihood of these harmful acoustic effects occurring at Cooloo is even higher. Approving this development under obsolete standards would disregard the High Court's findings and expose local residents to predictable and legally recognized interference with their right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. Planning permission should therefore be refused in full on these grounds.

Biodiversity Impact - Bats

I object on the grounds that the assessment of bat mortality risk is inadequate and fails to meet current scientific standards for acoustic monitoring and mitigation.

Wind turbines are well-documented sources of bat mortality through collision and barotrauma. Recent peer-reviewed research by Behr et al. (2023, *Mammal Review*, 53: 65–71) confirms that bat fatalities can be reliably estimated only where standardised, referenced acoustic monitoring protocols are applied. The Cooloo Wind Farm EIA does not demonstrate compliance with these standards.

- No evidence of standardised, referenced acoustic monitoring at nacelle level
- Ground-level acoustic surveys and short-term transects are insufficient and cannot predict turbine-specific collision risk
- The proposed tall, large-rotor turbines increase collision risk and monitoring uncertainty
- No commitment to validated curtailment systems (such as ProBat) which have been shown to substantially reduce bat mortality
- Absence of site-specific validation and continuous monitoring means bat fatalities may be severely underestimated

Under the EU Habitats Directive (Articles 12 and 16) and the Wildlife Acts 1976–2018, all Irish bat species are strictly protected. Developers and planning authorities have a legal duty to ensure projects do not result in deliberate killing or disturbance of bats or deterioration of their breeding or resting sites. The absence of scientifically robust, standardised acoustic monitoring represents a significant procedural and ecological shortcoming.

I respectfully request that An Coimisiún Pleanála require:

- Standardised, referenced acoustic monitoring following international best practice
- Nacelle-mounted, calibrated detectors to monitor bat activity continuously throughout operation
- Validated curtailment systems (e.g. ProBat) to automatically shut down turbines during high bat activity
- Independent review and public reporting of all monitoring protocols and data
- Precautionary curtailment during high-risk seasons until adequate local reference data are available

Reference:

- Behr, O., Brinkmann, R., Mages, J., Niermann, I., Korner-Nievergelt, F., & Voigt, C. C. (2023). Standardised and referenced acoustic monitoring reliably estimates bat fatalities at wind turbines. *Mammal Review*, 53(1), 65–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12302>

Climate impact

I support renewable energy but object to the Cooloo Wind Farm because it will worsen Ireland's land-use emissions. Clearing mature forest and disturbing peat for turbine bases and access roads will release long-stored carbon into the atmosphere. Ireland's Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2021 and the European Climate Law both require that each sector reduce its emissions without offsetting increases elsewhere. This means energy targets cannot legally override land-use targets. If projects like this go ahead without full rewetting and carbon recovery, Ireland risks breaching its climate budgets and facing EU fines. We need clean energy that complements, not competes with, our land-based climate commitments.

Battery storage and substation safety risks

I object on the grounds of unacceptable risks to public health, fire safety, and water contamination posed by the proposed substation and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS).

The developer's own Appendix 12-3 Battery Storage Noise Assessment (Sept 2025) identifies fifteen CATL EnerC+ battery containers containing lithium-ion (LiFePO₄) systems manufactured by CATL. Predicted operational noise levels reach up to 31 dB LAeq at nearby homes, representing an increase of +11 to +14 dB above background levels. The report itself classifies this as a "significant adverse impact" on residential amenity. Scientific research shows that chronic noise above 30 dB can raise risks of cardiovascular disease and sleep disturbance.

Lithium-ion Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) installations worldwide have experienced fires and explosions that release toxic gases such as hydrogen fluoride and hydrogen cyanide. Research shows that fire-water run-off from lithium-ion battery fires can contain hydrofluoric acid, dissolved metals, and fluorinated organic compounds, which may contaminate nearby soil and waterways if not properly contained.

This proposed Substation and BESS would have a major impact on The Lough Corrib Special Area of Conservation, as a nearby stream eventually flows into Lough Corrib, potentially harming aquatic life and drinking water sources.

Based on the absence of any Fire Safety Management Plan within Appendix 12-3, it appears that nearby fire services are not equipped or trained to respond effectively to large-scale lithium-ion battery fires.

In *Grace & Others v. An Bórd Pleanála* (2017), the Supreme Court ruled that a residence within one kilometer of a proposed development site had standing to argue against consent. This case emphasizes the significance of thoroughly evaluating related infrastructure such as the substation and BESS, which ought to be included in the same consenting procedure as the wind farm itself.

With homes, farmland, and livestock within a few hundred metres of the proposed site, this industrial-scale development poses an unacceptable risk to community health, safety, and environmental integrity. Until independent noise, fire-safety, and hydrological risk audits are completed and verified by competent authorities, I urge An Bord Pleanála to refuse this application in accordance with the Precautionary Principle.

References:

- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) (2020) Hazard Assessment of Lithium-Ion Battery Energy Storage Systems
- TNEI Ireland (2025) Appendix 12-3 Battery Storage Noise Assessment
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2018) Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region
- Irish Legal News (2017) Supreme Court: Challenge to wind farm development referred to CJEU

Visual Impact

The proposed turbines would be highly intrusive and visually dominant, overwhelming the existing rural character of the local landscape. Their visibility from multiple vantage points would transform a natural and agricultural setting into an industrial-scale development.

The proposal is out of scale with the surrounding environment. The turbines' extreme height and size would cause visual clutter and a loss of scenic amenity, remaining visible even at long distances and creating continuous visual intrusion.

When combined with existing or approved wind farms in the region, this development would lead to visual saturation and skyline dominance, further eroding the landscape's character and reducing its recreational value.

The developer's visual impact assessment understates the visibility and significance of the turbines. Photomontages appear selective and fail to represent the true extent of visual intrusion likely to be experienced by residents and visitors.

The proposal would diminish the rural amenity, tranquillity, and identity of the local region. It threatens the area's sense of place and the quality of life for residents who value the natural and agricultural landscape.

The local wind farm's size and visual impact are excessive and inconsistent with the character of the area. While supporting renewable energy, developments must respect the local landscape — this project does not. The proposal should therefore be refused on the grounds of unacceptable visual and landscape impacts.

Conclusion

For all of the reasons set out in this submission, it is clear that this windfarm would cause more harm than benefit to our area. This community values its peace, safety, and way of life. The proposed windfarm threatens all of these. I ask An Coimisiún Pleanála to listen to the genuine concerns of local people and to reject this development in the interest of protecting our environment, our homes, and our future.

If permission is not refused outright, I request that an oral hearing be held so that I as a local can have my concerns about this development heard.

Yours Sincerely,

Mary Connell

Name: Mary Connell

Date: 09 November 2025